03/02 2013 22:41 04842394674

AGO

AX MESSAGE/E- Mail

13	•			••••	•
From	1	•	·· .	<u>·</u> • ,	·
	Tom K. Thomas		• • •		· . ·
×.	Special Government Plead	er to AG		· · · · ·	••••
·	Advocate General's Office			-	
• . • .	Ernakulam.	······································			
•	e-mail:- advocategeneralkerala@gma	il.com			
•	Phone: 0484-2564321.				
То			•		•
	The Secretary to Governme	ent			
	Local Self Government De	partment			
	Government Secretariat	ć	•		· ·
	Thiruvananthapuram.				
Repea	t to: 1. The Convener			n Angle angle ang Angle angle ang	1997.
• P ou	State Decentralisa	tion Co. and the	.		
i Article and a second	Planning Board, G	overnment Sec	don Committe	ee	
er for a la krook	Thiruvananthapu	am.	i clai iat		
	2. The Chief Engineer		an an an an an an an aire an		
	Local Self Governm	nent Depa inte	mt 👘 👘	et e state en ser e La característica en ser en	l ann an
F BE CALL	Revenue Complex,	Public Office E	Building	• • • •	
•	Thiruvananthapur	am.			
. •	and the second	• •	and the second		· .

W.P.(C) No.22070/2015 & connected cases

Dated: 22.7.2015

Sub: W.P. (C) No.22070/15 filed by R. Haridas Edathitta & 3 others and W.P.(C) Nos.15444/15, 15635/15 and 20172/15

Writ Petition No. 22070/15 was fied for a declaration that the panchayats have absolute discretion for inplementation of development works and public works through beneficiary committees, and that the Government Order No.2137/2015 dated 15.7.2015 stipulating that works above Rs.5 lakhs to be done by E-tender, is not binding on such works.

When the matter came up for further consideration before His Lordship Justice A.V.Ramakrishna Pillai tcday, the Court was pleased to pass an interim order which reads as follows:

I have heard the learned Senicr counsel for the petitione and the learned Senior Counsel for the respondent. 2

It is hereby made clear that the interim orders already passed shall not stand in the way of the Chief Engineer and the District Planning Committee in approving the proposals already submitted by the panchagats through proper channel. However, it is made clear that though it is open o the aforesaid committee to approve the proposal, no further action pursuant to the same shall be taken without any further orders from this Court. The permission for approval is granted only to avoid the exigency of getting the proposals lapsed on account of delay and this will not confer any special right on any of the parties.

In view of the above, there is no impediment in approval of the proposals submitted by the panchayats. However, actual implementation of the same and awarding of works shall be done only on getting further orders from the Court.

> Tom K. Thomas Special Government Pleader to A.G.